Open Public Consultation on the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

On 25 November 2020, the Commission published a Communication on a Pharmaceutical Strategy for Europe.

The Pharmaceutical Strategy identifies flagship initiatives and other actions to ensure the delivery of tangible results. As part of the implementation of the strategy, the Commission is evaluating the general pharmaceutical legislation¹ and assessing the impacts of possible changes in the legislation as described in the relevant <u>inception impact assessment</u>.

This public consultation aims to collect views of stakeholders and the general public in order to support the evaluation of the existing general pharmaceutical legislation and the impact assessment of its revision. It builds further on the public consultation² conducted for the preparation of the pharmaceutical strategy for Europe. The replies to that consultation will be taken into account for the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation. The present questionnaire should be seen as a continuation of that process.

In parallel, the legislation for medicines for rare diseases and children is being <u>revised</u> as well. Separate consultation activities have been carried out for that <u>revision</u>.

This questionnaire is available in all EU languages and you can reply in any EU language. You can pause any time and continue later. You can download your contribution once you have submitted your answers.

A summary on the outcome of the public consultation will be published by the Commission services on the <u>'</u><u>Have your say' portal</u>.

We thank you for your participation.

[1] Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use (OJ L 311, 28.11.2001, p. 67)

Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 laying down Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency (OJ L 136, 30.4.2004, p. 1)

[2] A report analysing the results of the pharmaceutical strategy consultation was published in November 2020.

About you

* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish
- * I am giving my contribution as
 - Academic/research institution
 - Business association
 - Company/business organisation
 - Consumer organisation
 - EU citizen
 - Environmental organisation
 - Non-EU citizen

- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other
- * Which stakeholder group do you represent?
 - Individual member of the public
 - Patient or consumer organisation
 - Healthcare professional
 - Healthcare provider organisation (incl. hospitals, pharmacies)
 - Healthcare payer
 - Centralised health goods procurement body
 - Health technology assessment body
 - Academic researcher
 - Research funder
 - Learned society
 - European research infrastructure
 - Other scientific organisation
 - Environmental organisation
 - Pharmaceuticals industry
 - Chemicals industry
 - Pharmaceuticals traders/wholesalers
 - Medical devices industry
 - Public authority (e.g. national ministries of health, medicines agencies, pricing and reimbursement authorities)
 - EU regulatory partner / EU institution
 - Non-EU regulator / non-EU body
 - Other (Please specify)

* First name

Piotr

* Surname

Kolczyński

piotr.kolczynski@cpme.eu

*Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

Standing Committee of European Doctors (CPME)

*Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

9276943405-41

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
			Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samoa	a [©] Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
			Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Guine	a [©] Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Barbuda			

Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	s 🦲 Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	a [©] Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French Southern	Moldova	South Georgia
	and Antarctic		and the South
	Lands		Sandwich
-	-	-	Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	a 🔍 Svalbard and
			Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba	0		
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina			
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory			
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste

Bulgaria	Heard Island an McDonald Island		Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern	Tonga
	5 5	Mariana Islands	-
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and
			Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedoni	a [©] Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
			Caicos Islands
Central African	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Republic			
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New	United Arab
		Guinea	Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	Paraguay	United Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines	United States
Islands			Minor Outlying
	-		Islands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	Poland	US Virgin Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
	-	_	Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda	Western Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélem	y [©] Yemen

Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena Zambia
		Ascension and
		Tristan da Cunha
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis
Congo		
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Looking back

As mentioned in the Inception Impact assessment, the revision aims to tackle the following problems:

- Unmet medical needs and market failures for medicines other than medicines for rare diseases and children;
- Unequal access to available and affordable medicines for patients across the EU;
- The current legislative framework may not be fully equipped to respond quickly to innovation;
- Inefficiency and administrative burden of regulatory procedures;
- Vulnerability of supply of medicines, shortages of medicines;
- Environmental challenges and sustainability;
- Any other issues, which might emerge from the evaluation.

Q1 In your opinion, are there any other issues that should be addressed in this revision?

800 character(s) maximum

Insufficient data on safety and efficacy of new medicines.

The increasing number of advanced medicinal products enters the market with limited information on their added therapeutic benefits and safety issues. The legislation's revision should lead to increased generation of comparable and robust data. Such data are a precondition so that doctors can assess adequately the health gains of new medicines versus current treatments on new medicines.

This can be done by harmonizing clinical trial designs, by choosing reasonable endpoints and clinically most relevant performance targets, by early dialogues between relevant stakeholders, and by requiring Randomised Controlled Trials to be conducted whenever possible.

Q2 How has the legislation performed in terms of the following elements?

	Very well	Well	Moderately	Poorly	Very poorly	Don' t know
1. Fulfilling its public health protection mission for patients and society.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
2. Promoting the development of new medicines, especially for unmet medical needs.	0	0	O	۲	0	0
3. Enabling timely development of medicines at all times, including during crises.	0	0	O	۲	0	0
4. Enabling timely authorisation, including scientific evaluation, of medicines in normal times.	0	۲	0	0	0	۲
5. Enabling timely authorisation, including scientific evaluation during crises.	0	0	0	۲	0	0
6. Adapting efficiently and effectively to technological and scientific advancements and innovation.	0	O	۲	O	O	0

7. Ensuring medicines are of high quality, safe and effective.		0	۲	۲	0	
8. Addressing the competitive functioning of the market to support affordability.	0	0	0	۲	0	۲
9. Ensuring the availability of generic ³ and biosimilar ⁴ medicines.						
[3] "Generic" is a copy of a medicine based on simple or chemical molecules. [4] "Biosimilar" is a copy of a medicine based on biological molecules.		0	۲	0	0	0
10. Ensuring that new medicines are timely available to patients in all EU countries.	0	0	0	0	۲	0
11. Ensuring that medicines stay on the market at all times and that there are no shortages.		0	0	0	۲	0
12. Ensuring that authorised medicines are manufactured, used and disposed of in an environmentally friendly manner.	0	0	۲	0	0	0
13. Ensuring that the EU system for development, authorisation and monitoring of medicines, including its rules and procedures, is understandable and easy to navigate.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
14. Attracting global investment for medicine innovation in the EU.	0	0	0	0	0	۲

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, including positive or unintended effects of the legislation, or would you like to justify your replies?

800 character(s) maximum

The Commission can ensure better safety and efficiency of medicines by critically reviewing the current accelerated approval procedures, which are overused.

Regarding EMA, the revision should strengthen the medicine safety requirements and pharmacovigilance system so that it can effectively monitor authorized and marketed medicines, both in crisis and beyond.

The legislation did not provide a sufficient level of transparency. The revision should therefore help to achieve greater transparency on research data and evidence, decision-making process from clinical trials to marketing authorization and HTA, but also on pricing negotiations and reimbursement. The legislation should also increase international cooperation in R&D, including basic research and independent clinical trials.

Looking forward

This section reflects on possible solutions to address the problems identified in the inception impact assessment mentioned in the previous section.

Your contribution will help us in defining the way forward.

UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS

One of the aims of the strategy is to stimulate innovation and breakthrough therapies, especially in areas of 'unmet medical need'.

Regulators, health technology assessment experts and representatives of bodies responsible for reimbursing or paying for medicines ('payers') are discussing a definition or a set of principles for 'unmet medical needs'⁵ in order to achieve the objectives of the general pharmaceutical legislation. The discussions reveal different perceptions of what is an 'unmet medical need'. Convergence on this key concept should facilitate the design of clinical trials, generation of evidence and its assessment, and the quick availability on the market of these products and ensuring that innovation matches the needs of patients and of the national health systems.

The purpose of this question is to identify elements that are important in defining what is unmet medical need and in which areas of unmet medical need innovation should be stimulated.

[5] Please note that a similar discussion is taking place in the context of medicines for rare diseases and for children. The concept of 'unmet needs' in the context of rare diseases and children might be slightly differentiated compared to 'unmet needs' in the context of the general pharmaceutical legislation.

Q3 How important are the following elements for defining 'unmet medical needs'?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. Seriousness of a disease.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
2. Absence of satisfactory treatment authorised in the EU.	۲	0	0	0	0	0
3. A new medicine has major therapeutic advantage over existing treatment(s).	۲	0	0	O	O	0
4. Lack of access for patients across the EU to an authorised treatment.	0	0	0	0	۲	0
5. Other (please specify).	0	۲	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

Pharmaceutical companies should be obliged to propose marketing centrally authorised medicines in all EU countries in a timely manner. Lack of access to these medicines due to the unwillingness of the MAH to market them in an EU country should never happen and therefore this should not be part of the definition of "unmet medical need".

Unmet medical need exists when no disease-specific therapy is available and only supportive care is possible or when an established treatment does not significantly improve quality of life or provide significant or substantial additional benefit.

Besides the above considerations, when defining an unmet medical need different disease-related aspects e. g. mortality and severity of the disease or its prevalence among others should also be taken into account.

INCENTIVES FOR INNOVATION

The general pharmaceutical legislation guarantees the pharmaceutical innovator, typically a company, regulatory data and market protection for its new medicinal product. This data protection makes sure that another pharmaceutical company cannot re-use the proprietary data of the innovator for 8 years. Market protection makes sure that a generic or biosimilar medicine cannot be marketed until 10 years after authorisation. This dual protection shields a pharmaceutical innovator from generics or biosimilars on the market for 10 years. This protection is part of the EU system of incentives for innovation. The EU regime of <u>i</u> <u>ntellectual property protection</u> provides an additional protection coverage but is beyond the scope of this questionnaire and the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation.

Q4 What do you think of the following measures to support innovation, including for 'unmet medical needs'?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. The current data and market protection periods for innovative medicines: 10 years of market protection, and 8 years of data protection.	0	O	O	O	۲	0
2. Provide different data and market protection periods depending on the purpose of the medicine (i.e. longer period of protection in areas of unmet medical need).	۲	0	0	0	0	0
3. Reduce the data and market protection periods to allow earlier access for generic and biosimilar medicines to the market.	۲	0	0	0	0	0
4. Introduce new types of incentives ⁶ on top of the existing data and market protection for medicines addressing an 'unmet medical need'.						
[6] Examples of new incentives are a transferable exclusivity voucher or a priority review voucher. A transferable exclusivity voucher would give the legal right to extend the protection time period of any other patented medicinal product, in exchange for the successful regulatory approval of a specified medicine for unmet medical need (e.g. an antibiotic). The voucher would be transferable or saleable, and may impact the turnover and profitability levels of other products in a developer's portfolio. A priority review voucher gives priority to the assessment of the application of the medicine in question	O	O	O	O	۲	0
or another medicine in the applicant's portfolio. 5. Early scientific support and faster review/authorisation of a new promising medicine for an unmet medical need.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
6. Public listing of priority therapeutic areas of high unmet medical need to support product development by providing incentives.	0	O	O	O	O	۲
7. Require transparent reporting from companies about their research and development costs and public funding as a condition to obtain certain incentives.	۲	O	O	O	O	0
8. Other (please specify)	۲	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

The optimisation of the incentive system requires the definition of an "innovative medicine" as one that meets a previously unmet or inadequately met, substantive health need and offers enhanced effectiveness or other incremental benefit relative to existing therapeutic alternatives (see OECD report on Pharmaceutical Innovation and Access to Medicines, 2018).

The revised legislation needs to introduce a new system of incentives that would limit the granting of market exclusivity extensions and provide tailored and proportionate rewards for relevant innovations. They should also reflect divergent cost of R&D, public contribution, and revenues from the end product. A high degree of transparency should therefore be a prerequisite for obtaining any form of incentives.

ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE⁷

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is the ability of microorganisms (such as bacteria, viruses, fungi or parasites) to survive and grow over time and no longer respond to medicines making infections harder to treat and increasing the risk of infections, severe illness and death. Antimicrobials include antibiotics, which are substances that fight bacterial infections. Overprescribing, overuse and inappropriate use of antibiotics are key drivers of AMR, leading to harmful health outcomes. The question below is intended to collect opinions on both the incentives for the development of new antimicrobials as well as possible option on their prudent use.

[7] amr_2017_action-plan.pdf (europa.eu).

Q5 Should there be specific regulatory incentives for the development of new antimicrobials while taking into account the need for more prudent use and if so what should they be?

1000 character(s) maximum

The lack of new antimicrobials shows that the current market-based R&D model is not appropriate for developing antibiotics. Consequently, market-based regulatory incentives such as transferable market exclusivity (which anyway risk overcompensation, and could disproportionately subsidize one area of healthcare at the expense of another) or data exclusivity extensions are not effective and should be avoided.

First and foremost, public sector needs to take more leadership. There is a considerable lack of pull incentives to facilitate the transition of antibiotic products from early clinical phases to commercialization which would reward he whole R&D value chain.

Of the various pull incentives options, de-linkage models seem likely to stimulate antibiotic innovation most effectively.

The EU should also support public and/or not-for-profit production, as suggested by the Slovenian Presidency.

All incentives should be linked to transparency requirements.

FUTURE PROOFING: ADAPTED, AGILE AND PREDICTABLE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR NOVEL PRODUCTS

Novel products and innovative solutions continue to challenge the understanding of a "medicinal product" with low volume, and cutting-edge products (e.g. medicines combined with self-learning artificial intelligence) becoming a new reality. 'Bedside' manufacture of more individualised medicines changes the way medicines are produced. There are classification and interplay challenges with other medical products, such as medical devices and substances of human origin, or related to the combination of clinical trials with in vitro diagnostics/medical devices and medicines. In addition, certain cell-based advanced therapy medicines⁸ are offered in hospital settings and are exempted from aspects of the pharmaceutical legislation. These developments offer possibilities for novel promising treatments and new ways of authorising and monitoring medicines but they are also testing the limits of the current regulatory system. They need to be addressed to unfold their potential while safeguarding the principles of high quality, safety and efficacy of medicines.

Digital transformation is affecting the discovery, development, manufacture, evidence generation, assessment, supply and use of medicines. Medicines, medical technologies and digital health are becoming increasingly integral to overarching therapeutic options. These include systems based on artificial intelligence for prevention, diagnosis, better treatment, therapeutic monitoring and data for personalised medicines and other healthcare applications.

[8] Advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) are medicines for human use that are based on genes, tissues or cells. They offer groundbreaking new opportunities for the treatment of disease and injury.

Q6 How would you assess the following measures to create an adapted, agile and predictable regulatory framework for novel products?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. Maintain the current rules.	0	۲	۲	0	0	0
2. Create a central mechanism in close coordination with other concerned authorities (e.g. those responsible for medical devices, substances of human origins) to provide non-binding scientific advice on whether a treatment/product should be classified as a medicine or not.	O	۲	0	0	0	0
3. Make use of the possibility for 'regulatory sandboxes' ⁹ in legislation to pilot certain categories of novel products/technologies.						
[9] Some very innovative solutions fail to see the light of day because of regulations which might be outdated or poorly adapted for fast evolving technologies. One way to address this is through regulatory sandboxes. This enables innovative solutions not already foreseen in regulations or guidelines to be live-tested with supervisors and regulators, provided that the appropriate conditions are in place, for example to ensure equal treatment. Regulatory sandboxes provide up-to-date information to regulators and supervisors on, and experience with, new technology, while enabling policy experimentation. See COM(2020) 103 final.	©		۲	©	©	©
4. Create adaptive regulatory frameworks (e.g. adapted requirements for authorisation and monitoring with possibility to adjust easily to scientific progress) for certain novel types of medicines or low volume products (hospital preparations) in coherence with other legal frameworks (e.g. medical devices and substances of human origin ¹⁰) and respecting the principles of quality, safety and efficacy.	©	۲	۲	O	O	O
[10] Substances that are donated by humans such as blood, plasma, cells, gametes, tissues and organs and are applied as therapy. Some substances of human origin can also become starting materials to manufacture medicines.						

5. Introduce an EU-wide centrally coordinated process for early dialogue and more coordination among clinical trial, marketing authorisation, health technology assessment bodies, pricing and reimbursement authorities and payers for integrated medicines development and post-authorisation monitoring.	۲	O	O	O	©	O
6. Other (please specify)	۲	0	\odot	0	0	\odot

800 character(s) maximum

Novel products have the potential to provide substantial medical benefits. However, their flexible and accelerated authorisations result in high uncertainty regarding their clinical value and safety.

Information about these products should clearly specify the evidence of their clinical benefits and safety, as well as report what is not clinically proven and what is still under investigation.

In case of novel products, data on their safety and efficacy at the time of approval is often limited. Postmarketing evidence generation is therefore key to assess them. The legislation should strengthen the obligations and requirements of MAHs to conduct necessary study introducing penalties in case of noncompliance, including a withdrawal of marketing authorisation.

Q7. Do you think that certain definitions and the scope of the legislation need to be updated to reflect scientific and technological developments in the sector (e.g. personalised medicines, bedside manufacturing, artificial intelligence) and if so what would you propose to change?

1000 character(s) maximum

Precision medicines may be proposed for a large number of indications with small populations. This may lead to attempts to proliferate orphan designations, take advantage of orphan policies and limit competition without necessarily spurring development of the types of medicines for which orphan policies were intended. The revision of the legislation should therefore ensure harmonisation with the Orphan Regulation.

The number of advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) is increasing. Since they are authorised based on limited clinical trial data, the collection of real-world safety and efficacy data in the post-authorisation period is critical for monitoring and assessing these medicines. Special emphasis should be placed on programmes assuring the quality, efficacy and safety (demonstrated by clinical data) of cell-based ATMPs at the time of administration.

The legislation needs to allow pharmacists to continue to carry out pharmacy preparations.

REWARDS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO IMPROVED ACCESS TO MEDICINES

Some medicines and therapies do not always reach patients in all EU countries, so patients in the EU still have different levels of access to medicines, depending on where they live. Even if a medicine received an EU-wide authorisation, companies are currently not obliged to market it in all EU countries. A company may decide not to market its medicines in, or decide to withdraw them from, one or more countries. This can be due to various factors, such as national pricing and reimbursement policies, size of the population and level of wealth, the organisation of health systems and national administrative procedures. Smaller markets in particular face challenges for availability and supplies of medicines.

Q8 How would you assess the following measures to improve patient access to medicines across the EU?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. Maintain the current rules which provide no obligation to market medicines in all EU countries.	0	0	0	0	۲	0
2. Require companies to notify their market launch intentions to regulators at the time of the authorisation of the medicine.	۲	۲	۲	۲	0	0
3. Introduce incentives for swift market launch across the EU.	0	0	۲	0	0	0
4. Allow early introduction of generics in case of delayed market launch of medicines across the EU, while respecting intellectual property rights.	۲	٢	۲	O	O	0
5. Require companies to place – within a certain period after authorisation – a medicine on the market of the majority of Member States, that includes small markets.	۲	©	O	O	O	0
6. Require companies withdrawing a medicine from the market to offer another company to taker over the medicine.	۲	۲	۲	۲	O	0
7. Introduce rules on electronic product information to replace the paper package leaflet.	0	0	0	0	۲	0
8. Introduce harmonised rules for multi-country packages of medicines.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
9. Other (please specify).	۲	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

The centralised MA should be linked to a commitment on the part of pharma companies, i.e., once authorised, medicinal products have to be launched in all EU countries in a timely manner. Companies should not be allowed to subsequently withdraw these products from particular markets for commercial reasons.

Ensuring that product information is accessible to all, and in particular to patients/consumers with diverse abilities, is essential, and therefore ePI should never replace the paper version included in medicine packets. ePI must meet standards of objectivity, be transparent, independent and free of any advertising or commercial interests.

ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVE FUNCTIONING OF THE MARKET TO ENSURE AFFORDABLE MEDICINES

The affordability of medicines has implications for both public and household finances. It poses a growing challenge to pay for medicines in the majority of Member States. Often, innovative medicines have higher prices, while there are growing concerns among stakeholders about the real-life effectiveness of some medicines and related overall costs. This puts the budgetary sustainability of health systems at risk, and reduces the possibilities for patients to have access to these medicines. Generics and biosimilars¹¹ of medicines which no longer benefit from intellectual property protection (off-patent medicines) may provide accessible and affordable treatments. They also increase the availability of alternative treatment options for patients. They may also increase competition between available medicines. However, experience shows that there are still barriers for medicines entering the EU market, including for generics or biosimilars.

[11] "Generics" are copies of medicines based on simple or chemical molecules; "biosimilars" are copies of medicines based on biological molecules.

Q9 In your view, to what extent would the following measures support access to affordable medicines?

	To a great extent	To a certain extent	No change	Very little	Not at all	Don' t know
1. Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	۲	0
2. Stimulate earlier market entry through a broader possibility to authorise generics /biosimilars despite ongoing patent protection ('Bolar exemption') ¹² .						
[12] The Bolar exemption allows companies to conduct	0	۲	O	0	0	۲

research on patent protected medicines under the condition that it is with a view to apply for a marketing authorisation for a generic.						
3. Create a specific (regulatory) incentive for a limited number of biosimilars that come to the market first.	O	۲	0	0	0	0
4. Introduce an EU-wide scientific recommendation on interchangeability for specific biosimilars.	۲	0	O	0	0	0
5. Introduce other, non-legislative measures, such as joint procurement to reinforce competition while addressing security of supply and environmental challenges.	۲	0	0	0	0	۲
6. Other (please specify).	۲	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

Affordability can be ensured by putting in place concrete fair pricing conditions for any kind of public funding or incentives. Specific commitments in this regard can also be linked to marketing authorization.

The revised legislation should require that the R&D costs of medicines that have benefited from public funding are transparent and include a breakdown between private and public investment. This would empower national authorities by reducing information asymmetry in pricing negotiations and enable informed discussion on what constitutes a fair price for these medicines.

Increased requirements for robust comparable data for MA can also support HTA, pricing negotiations, and reimbursement decisions. Closer inter-agency cooperation is key.

REPURPOSING OF MEDICINES

Repurposing is the process of identifying a new use for an established medicine in a disease or condition other than that it is currently authorised for. Repurposing of older (off-patent) medicines constitutes an emerging and dynamic field of medicines development, often led by academic units and medical research charities, with the potential for faster development times and reduced costs as well as lower risks for companies. This is because repurposing commonly starts with substances that have already been tested and many have demonstrated an acceptable level of safety and tolerability. The objective is to identify the opportunities and address any regulatory burdens to facilitate repurposing of off-patent, affordable medicines.

Q10 What measures could stimulate the repurposing of off-patent medicines and provide additional uses of the medicine against new diseases and medical conditions? Please justify your answers. Support, including financial incentives where appropriate, should be provided to encourage companies to repurpose their products. A financial instruments should be established to support applications for new indications, including the generation of additional evidence on safety and efficacy where required.

Incentives should also support non-industry-funded research aimed to provide information needed for repurposing.

The introduction of a new repurposed indications for off patent generic medicines should not interfere with the competition between manufacturers.

Horizon scanning should be set up to provide systematic information on future repurposing opportunities. Candidate medicines of special interest should be identified and prioritized, and additional measures established to best expedite their repurposing.

SECURITY OF SUPPLY OF MEDICINES

Shortages of medicines and the vulnerabilities in the pharmaceutical supply chain continue to be concerns in the EU. Shortages of medicines can have serious impacts on patient care. Under the current pharmaceutical legislation, pharmaceutical companies and wholesalers must, within the limits of their responsibilities, ensure a continued supply of medicines once they are placed on the market in the EU. Companies must also notify national authorities at least two months before an expected shortage or planned market withdrawal.

Q11 What is your view on the following measures to ensure security of supply of medicines in the EU?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. Maintain the current rules.	0	0	۲	۲	۲	0
2. Earlier reporting of shortages and market withdrawals to national authorities in a common format.	۲	0	0	0	0	۲
3. Companies to have shortage prevention plans.	۲	0	0	0	0	0
4. Companies to have safety stocks.	۲	0	0	۲	۲	0
5. Monitoring of supply and demand at national level.	۲	0	0	۲	0	0
6. Introduce a shortage monitoring system at EU level.	۲	0	0	0	0	0
7. Require companies to diversify their supply chains, in particular the number of key suppliers of medicines and components.	۲	0	0	0	0	0
8. Companies to provide more information to regulators on their supply chain.	۲	0	0	0	0	0
9. Introduce penalties for non-compliance by companies with proposed new obligations.	۲	0	0	0	0	0
10. EU coordination to help identify areas where consolidation in the supply chain has reduced the number of suppliers.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
11. Other (please specify)	۲	۲	۲	۲	۲	0

800 character(s) maximum

Penalties should be introduced for non-compliance not only with new obligations but also with the existing ones.

The "limits of MAHs responsibilities" stipulated in Art 81 of the Community Code Directive must be specified.

A dedicated early warning system should be established to inform the relevant stakeholders, including doctors, of any problems related to the supply of medicines.

A standardised reporting system which gives guidance as to what, when and how to report should also be created.

EMA's competencies to oversee the European response to medicine shortages should also be extended beyond crisis situations.

EMA should be entirely publicly funded as a prerequisite to its independence.

QUALITY AND MANUFACTURING

Medicines manufactured for the EU market must comply with the principles and guidelines of good manufacturing practice (GMP). GMP describes the minimum standard that a medicines manufacturer must meet in their production processes. GMP requires that medicines are of consistent high quality, are appropriate for their intended use and meet the requirements of the marketing authorisation or clinical trial authorisation.

Q12 What is your opinion of the following measures to ensure manufacturing and distribution of high quality products?

	Very adequate	Adequate	Neutral	Less adequate	Not adequate	Don' t know
1. Maintain the current rules.	0	0	۲	0	0	0
2. Strengthen manufacturing and oversight rules.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
 Adapt manufacturing rules to reflect new manufacturing methods. 	0	۲	0	0	0	0
4. Include selected environmental requirements for manufacturing of medicines in line with the one health approach on						

antimicrobial resistance ¹³ .	۲	O	0	0	0	
[13] The one-health approach is a holistic and multi-sectorial approach to addressing antimicrobial resistance since antimicrobials used to treat infectious diseases in animals may be the same or be similar to those used in humans.						
5. Increase Member State cooperation and surveillance of the supply chain in the EU and third countries.	۲	©	©	©	O	0
6. Strengthen and clarify responsibilities of business operators over the entire supply chain on sharing information on quality, safety and efficacy.	۲	0	0	0	۲	O
7. Other (please specify).	۲	0	0	0	0	۲

800 character(s) maximum

The Commission should aim to increase transparency of medicine supply chains.

The current framework to regulate the release of pharmaceutical residues into the environment should be strengthened. Requirements on environmental-friendly manufacturing should be enhanced throughout the supply chain – including in third countries.

The Commission should explore the possibility of building on the example of the new EU law on corporate due diligence, currently under negotiation, to hold companies responsible for guaranteeing the actors in their supply chains operate in a way that ensures high quality, safety, and efficacy of end products.

Quality inspections in oversee manufacturing sites, including the ones producing generics should be increased.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES

While access to pharmaceuticals is a priority, it is also important that the environmental impacts of those pharmaceuticals are as low as possible. The environmental risk assessments (ERAs) is currently not taken into account in the overall benefit/risk analysis which influences the delivery of a marketing authorisation (MA) of a medicine. ERA can influence risk management measures. Yet, ERA results are not decisive in the MA process.

Q13 How would you assess the following measures to ensure that the environmental challenges emerging from human medicines are addressed?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. Maintain the current rules.	0	0	۲	0	0	0
2. Strengthen the environmental risk assessment during authorisation of a medicine, including risk mitigation measures, where appropriate.	۲	0	0	0	0	0
3. Harmonize environmental risk assessment by national regulators, including risk mitigation measures.	0	۲	0	0	0	O
4. Increase information to the health care professionals and the general public about the assessment of environmental risks of medicines.	0	۲	0	0	0	O
5. Allow companies to use existing data about environmental risks for authorisations of a new medicine to avoid duplicating tests.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
6. Other (please specify).	۲	0	0	0	0	O

800 character(s) maximum

The Commission should strengthen environmental impact assessment requirements and demand that companies invest to decarbonise every part of their value chain. These rules should also apply retroactively so that medicines already authorized will eventually have to comply with them as well.

Companies should be more transparent about their policies and objectives throughout their entire supply chains and operations. The Commission can also contribute to achieving this objective by implementing a due diligence legislation.

The Commission should encourage Member States to include environmental criteria in national tendering procedures to stimulate market towards the production of environmentally friendly pharmaceuticals.

Q14 Is there anything else you would like to add that has not been covered in this consultation?

900 character(s) maximum

The legislation revision is part of the Commission's broad strategy on EU pharmaceutical policy. The achievements of many of the revision's objectives depends on synergies with other initiatives taken in parallel, such as the changes to the EU rules on medicines for rare diseases and children, the operationalization of HERA or the reinforcement of the EMA's role, among others. Coherence between all these developments must be ensured.

European doctors are confident that they can bring valuable knowledge and experience to the evaluation of the legislation and remain open to participating in targeted consultation and further dialogue during the revision process.

Q15 In case you would like to share a document that substantiates your replies, please upload it below (optional).

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

a3cd5624-d83b-4254-9d2f-584ba39bf7b4/CPME_AD_Board_20032021_011.FINAL_.CPME_.Position. Paper_.on_.the_.Pharmaceutical.Strategy.pdf

Contact

EU-PHARMACEUTICAL-STRATEGY@EC.EUROPA.EU