

CPME/AD/EC/17062021/062\_Final/EN

On 17 June 2021, the CPME Executive Committee adopted the 'CPME response to the public consultation on the taxation of tobacco products and new products' (CPME 2021/062 FINAL).

#### **CPME Response to the Public Consultation on**

#### the Taxation of Tobacco Products and New Products

The Standing Committee of European Doctors (CPME) represents national medical associations across Europe. We are committed to contributing the medical profession's point of view to EU and European policy-making through pro-active cooperation on a wide range of health and healthcare related issues.<sup>1</sup>

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  CPME is registered in the Transparency Register with the ID number 9276943405-41.

Contribution ID: 43c6d47b-3e35-440c-b55d-5708535b0fb0

Date: 17/06/2021 15:50:27

# Public consultation on the taxation of tobacco products and new products

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

#### Introduction

Excise duties are indirect taxes on the sale or consumption of specific products such as alcohol beverages and tobacco products. The rules of excise duties on these products are harmonised at EU level.

The EU taxation rules for tobacco products define and classify various tobacco products and lay down the relevant minimum tax rates. The purpose of these rules is to ensure the proper functioning of the internal market and a high level of health protection.

Tobacco taxation is a major instrument to discourage tobacco consumption and reduce smoking prevalence. The high number of smokers in the EU remains a major concern and price gaps between EU countries fuel unintended cross-border shopping.

The current rules date from 2010 and the minimum tax rates have now lost their effect. The rules are also not able to cope with market developments and the entry into the market of new products. Finally, concerns due to fraud remain high.

The current EU rules are therefore being reviewed to ensure that they remain fit for purpose and can contribute to the ambitions of the Europe's Beating Cancer Plan.

The goal of this public consultation is to ensure that all relevant stakeholders have an opportunity to express their views on the current taxation rules of tobacco products and its future. This consultation will include questions on the effects of the current system, along with possible changes.

The questionnaire is divided into 4 sections, namely:

- Section 1 on respondent's profile and details;
- Section 2 on the taxation of traditional tobacco products;
- Section 3 on tax regimes for new products (like products intended for inhalation and oral intake);
- Section 4 on the control of the tobacco supply chain.

Please note that to participate in the public consultation you are not obliged to respond to all questions.

Some of the questions are conditional on the type of respondent you represent, so you might not see all the questions and the numbering will be interrupted.

You can contribute to this consultation by filling in this online questionnaire. If you are unable to use the online questionnaire, please contact us using the email address below. You can submit your responses in any official EU language and respondents have the ability to attach supplemental materials. For reasons of transparency, organisations and businesses taking part in public consultations are asked to register in the EU's Transparency Register.

## About you

Bulgarian

Croatian

Danish

Dutch

English

Estonian

Czech

\*1 Language of my contribution

\*2 I am giving my contribution as

Business association

Academic/research institution

Finnish
French
German
Greek
Hungarian
Irish
Italian
Latvian
Lithuanian
Maltese
Polish
Portuguese
Romanian
Slovak
Slovenian
Spanish
Swedish

Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*3 First name
Markus
*4 Surname
Kujawa
*5 Email (this won't be published)
markus.kujawa@cpme.eu
*10 Please indicate whether your organisation has received financial support from
tobacco industry in the past 5 year
Yes
No
*11 Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
The Standing Committee of European Doctors (CPME)
*12 Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)
13 Transparency register number

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

9276943405-41			

## \* 1

4 C	ountry of origin					
Pleas	e add your country of origin	n, or that of your organisation	on.			
0	Afghanistan	Djibouti	0	Libya	0	Saint Martin
0	Åland Islands	Dominica	0	Liechtenstein	0	Saint Pierre
						and Miquelon
	Albania	Dominican	0	Lithuania		Saint Vincent
		Republic				and the
		_				Grenadines
0	Algeria	Ecuador	0	Luxembourg	0	Samoa
0	American	Egypt		Macau		San Marino
	Samoa					
	Andorra	El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
						Príncipe
	Angola	Equatorial		Malawi		Saudi Arabia
		Guinea				
0	Anguilla	Eritrea		Malaysia		Senegal
	Antarctica	Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
	Antigua and	Eswatini		Mali		Seychelles
	Barbuda					
	Argentina	Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
	Armenia	Falkland Islands		Marshall		Singapore
				Islands		
0	Aruba	Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
	Australia	Piji		Mauritania		Slovakia
	Austria	Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia
	Azerbaijan	France		Mayotte		Solomon
						Islands
0	Bahamas	French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia
	Bahrain	French		Micronesia		South Africa
		Polynesia				

Bangladesh	<ul><li>French</li><li>Southern and</li><li>Antarctic Lands</li></ul>	Moldova	<ul><li>South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands</li></ul>
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina			
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory			
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island	Niue Niue	Togo
	and McDonald		
Durking Face	Islands	Novfall, lalaved	O Talvalau
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern Mariana Islanda	Tonga
O Combodia	O Hungary	Mariana Islands	Tripided and
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and
			Tobago

Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
			Caicos Islands
<ul><li>Central African</li><li>Republic</li></ul>	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New	United Arab
		Guinea	Emirates
Christmas	Italy	Paraguay	United
Island			Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines	United States
Islands			Minor Outlying
			Islands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	Poland	US Virgin
			Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
			Futuna
Curação	Laos	Rwanda	Western
			Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint	Yemen
		Barthélemy	

Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	Zambia
		Ascension and	
		Tristan da	
		Cunha	
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

### \*16 Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

## Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

# Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Taxation of traditional tobacco products

17 In your opinion, how affordable are the following tobacco products in your country?

	Very affordable	Affordable	Not so affordable	Don't know
Cigarettes	•	0	0	0
Fine-cut tobacco for the rolling of cigarettes	•	0	0	0
Cigars and cigarillos	•	0	0	0
Pipe tobacco	•	0	0	0
Water-pipe tobacco	•	0	0	0

18 The tax rates on tobacco products vary substantially from one EU countries to another. In your view, should the EU increase the minimum tax rates applied to the following tobacco products?

	Yes, significantly	Yes, moderately	No, tax rates should not change	No, tax rates should decrease	Don' t know
Cigarettes	•	0	0	0	0
Fine-cut tobacco for the rolling of cigarettes	•	0	0	0	0
Cigars and cigarillos	•	0	0	0	0
Other smoking tobacco (e.g. pipe and waterpipe tobacco)	•	0	0	0	0

19 The EU applies different tax rates to different tobacco products. In your view, is there a need to reduce the gap in tax levels between products?

Please, express your agreement/disagreement with the following statements.

	Agree	Partly agree	Neutral	Partly disagree	Disagree	Don' t know
The gap between the taxation of cigarettes and fine-cut tobacco for the rolling of cigarettes should be reduced.	•	0	•	•	0	0
The gap between the taxation of cigarettes and cigarillos should be reduced.	•	0	0	0	0	0
The EU policy should tax all tobacco products at equivalent levels.	•	0	0	0	0	0

20 The difference in the price of cigarettes between EU Member States can fuel unintended levels of cross-border shopping. How important is it that EU policies contribute to reducing such differences?

- Very important.
- Moderately important.
- Barely important.
- Not important at all.
- Don't know.

21 The affordability of tobacco products depends not only on price levels, but also on the average income of consumers; a circumstance which is different across EU Member States. In your view, should the EU consider disparities in income levels when setting the minimum tax rates for tobacco products?

- Yes, definitely.
- Yes, partly.
- Not at all.
- Don't know.

22 Please feel free to add any further comments regarding a possible revision of the EU rules on the taxation of traditional tobacco product:

Increased taxation has been shown to be the most successful strategy to decrease tobacco use, particularly in the more vulnerable groups. CPME believes that it is important to acknowledge the health impact of tobacco taxation, as increasing excise taxes on tobacco is the most effective and cost-effective tobacco control instrument. These effects may be more particularly pronounced among young people as they are the most price-sensitive demographic for tobacco use. As such, an upwards convergence of tax regimes can contribute to the creation of Tobacco-Free Generation as envisaged in Europe's Beating Cancer Plan, where less than 5% of the population uses tobacco by 2040 as compared to the 25% today.

Cross-border shopping for tobacco products is a problem, where price differences exist, and can be disincentivised by harmonising the costs of these products among EU Member States. Upwards convergence of tobacco tax measures through harmonisation of tax regimes would reduce the incentives for cross-border tax evasion. By further raising the price of tobacco through taxation, EU Member State governments may benefit from increased revenue which can be redistributed to fund other health and development policies. In addition to increased revenues, governments will benefit from savings to the costs of health services through reduced spending on benefits related to sickness and disability.

Moreover, roll-your-own and make-your-own tobacco present similar risk to human health and should be subjected to equivalent excise duties as cigarettes.

Finally, CPME reminds that sale of snus is illegal in all the EU Member States except Sweden. The EU should maintain the ban on snus and conduct discussions with Sweden to remove such exception. Despite

the constraint, many citizens especially in the neighbouring countries are affected as they can purchase snus in Sweden for their personal use and bring it back to their home countries.

# Taxation of new products

Note: In this section, any reference to the taxation of electronic cigarettes and heated tobacco products always refers to the consumable parts (i.e. liquids for e-cigarettes, sticks and capsules etc.) and never to the hardware (i.e. electronic device) component.

23 Various new products appeared on the EU market in the past few years. In your view, should the EU harmonise tax rules and minimum rates for such products? *Please, provide separate answers for the following products.* 

	Yes	Maybe	No	Don't know
Heated tobacco products	•	0	0	0
Refills and liquids for e-cigarettes that contain nicotine	•	0	0	0
Refills and liquids for e-cigarettes that do not contain nicotine	•	0	0	0
Smokeless tobacco products for chewing or nasal use	0	0	0	0
Products for oral use containing nicotine but not tobacco e.g. nicotine pouches	•	0	0	0

24 If the EU establishes a minimum tax on heated tobacco, the tax amount should be ...

- Lower than tax levels applied to fine-cut tobacco for the rolling of cigarettes.
- Similar to tax levels applied to fine-cut tobacco for the rolling of cigarettes.
- Higher than tax levels applied to fine-cut tobacco for the rolling of cigarettes but lower than cigarettes.
- Similar to tax levels applied to cigarettes.
- Don't know.

25 If the EU establishes a minimum tax on e-cigarettes, the tax amount should be

- In line with the highest levels currently applied in EU Member States\* (e.g. approximately € 0.3/ml).
- In line with the lowest level currently applied in EU Member States\* (e.g. approximately € 0.1/ml).
- None.
- Don't know.

(\*) with reference to EU Member States that have adopted an ad hoc tax on e-cigarettes

26 If the EU establishes a minimum tax on other smokeless products for chewing or nasal use, the tax amount should be...

- In line with tax levels applied to smoking tobacco.
- In line with tax levels applied to heated tobacco.
- In line with tax levels applied to e-cigarettes.
- None.
- Don't know.

27 Should the EU's harmonised rules also cover products containing cannabidiol (CBD)?

- Yes, always.
- Only products intended for smoking.
- Only products intended for smoking or inhalation (but not those for oral intake).
- No. never.
- Don't know.

28 Please feel free to add further comments regarding possible EU tax regimes for new products:

It is important that the EU tax regimes for new products are harmonized among EU Member States and that they discourage use of these products as agents for initiating smoking.

## Control of the tobacco supply chain

29 Tobacco tax fraud in the EU amounts to approximately EUR 10 billion annually. How serious do you believe is the problem of illicit tobacco products trading in your country?

- Major problem.
- Moderate problem.
- Limited problem.
- Not a problem.
- Don't know.

30 There is evidence of an increase in the unlawful production of cigarettes in clandestine factories within the EU.

Should the EU take further action to support Member States in fighting against these practices?

0	Yes,	definitely	
---	------	------------	--

Maybe.

<sup>◎</sup> No.

Don't know.

31 Movements of manufactured tobacco products are tracked and monitored across the EU through an electronic control system to avoid fraud. Such a system could be extended to raw tobacco to address the risk of illicit manufacturing. To what extend do you agree with the following statements?

	Agree	Partially agree	Neutral	Partially disagree	Disagree	Don' t know
Movements of raw tobacco across the EU should be tracked and monitored through an electronic control system harmonised at the EU level.	•	©	0	•	©	0
Such a system would be able to contribute to reduce illicit manufacturing.	•	0	0	0	0	0
Other approaches should be considered.	•	0	0	0	0	0

32 Please feel free to add any further comments regarding enhanced controls of
raw tobacco supply chain and the adoption of relevant measures at the EU level:

33 If you wish to upload a complementary document, please use the upload button below:

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire, which is the essential input to this open consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background to better understand your position.

## Contact

Contact Form